1 2 3 4 5 6	CHRISTOPHER WARD, CA Bar No. 238777 cward@foley.com ARCHANA R. ACHARYA, CA Bar No. 272989 aacharya@foley.com FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET, SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2411 TELEPHONE: 213.972.4500 FACSIMILE: 213.486.0065 Attorneys for Defendant PACIFICA OF THE VALLEY CORPORATION dba PACIFICA			
7	HOSPITAL OF THE VALLEY			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
10	CENTRAL CIVIL WEST			
11	KYLE FRENCHER, ON BEHALF OF HERSELF AND OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED.) Case No: E	3C559056	
12	PLAINTIFF,	DECLARATION OF PATTI GUEVARA IN DISUPPORT OF DEFENDANT PACIFICA OF		
13	V.		LEY CORPORATION DBA . HOSPITAL OF THE VALLEY'S	
14	PACIFICA OF THE VALLEY CORPORATION		ON TO PLAINTIFF KYLE R'S MOTION FOR CLASS	
15	DBA PACIFICA HOSPITAL OF THE VALLEY; AND DOES 1 TO 100, INCLUSIVE) CERTIFIC		
1617	DEFENDANT.) Concurrently filed with Opposition to Class) Certification and the Declaration of Archana) Acharya		
18 19)) Date:) Time:) Dept.:	January 13, 2017 9:00 a.m. 322	
)		
20) JUDGE:	HON. ELIHU M. BERLE	
21) CASE FILED	: Sертемвег 29, 2014	
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

DECLARATION OF PATTI GUEVARA

- I, Patti Guevara, declare as follows:
- 1. I am currently the Human Resources Manager at Pacifica of the Valley Corporation dba Pacifica Hospital of the Valley ("Pacifica" of the "Hospital"). I have worked in Pacifica's Human Resources Department since 2007, and have held the Human Resources Manager position since 2009. In this position, I am responsible for human resources and personnel-related matters for all employees working at the Hospital. For many years, and at all times relevant to this case, the vast majority of Pacifica's non-exempt employees have been represented by one of two unions: the Service Employees International Union United Healthcare Workers West ("UHW") or the SEIU Local 121RN ("121RN") (collectively referred to herein as the "Union" or "Unions"). I frequently represent the Hospital at meetings involving these two unions and as such, I completely familiar with all aspects of Pacifica's personnel policies and operations. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and if called upon as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. Approximately every three years, Pacifica and Union representatives bargain over collective bargaining agreements ("CBAs"), which in addition to Pacifica's written policies govern terms and conditions of employment in the Hospital. Over the history of this Union-Hospital relationship, both sides have worked out a manner of operating in which Pacifica's written policies and the CBAs are almost deliberately vague and lack much specific detail. Pacifica and Union representatives, including the several Union stewards who work as supervisors and charge nurses, meet on a monthly basis to discuss any concerns or issues affecting the Union members. Because of this longstanding union representation at Pacifica and a pattern of practice at the hospital, pursuant to preemptive federal law, Pacifica legally cannot change any practice without involving the unions and going through collective bargaining. Additionally, because of the heavily involved Unions, any employee issue is immediately reported to the Union stewards and is immediately addressed by Pacifica in the hopes of avoiding a formal Union grievance. Over the course of my experience working at Pacifica, the Unions have also never once filed a grievance over Pacifica's meal and rest periods or time rounding practices.
 - 3. Pacifica is an acute care hospital located in the San Fernando Valley that offers a full

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. Pacifica's non-exempt employees work one of two shifts at Pacifica: either a 8.5 hour shift or a 12.5 hour shift. At all times relevant to this case, Pacifica automatically deducted only 30 minutes from non-exempt employees' shift, regardless of whether any employee worked an 8.5-hour shift or a 12.5-hour shift; employees were paid for all other breaks. I know that if any employee's electronic time record showed that he or she took a meal period that was less than 30 minutes in length, Pacifica's payroll department would add one hour of premium pay. Therefore, if any employee believed that the auto-deduct practice did not entitle him or her to a lawful meal period, he or she could clock out and in for the meal period and Pacifica's payroll department would automatically compensate the employee with premium pay for the missed meal period.
- 5. As a result of the Union-approved auto-deduct practice, there is no record at Pacifica of how many breaks any employee took during any given shift, or for how long any employee was on break. Pacifica's only record of individuals who missed a meal or rest period are those who reported the missed period and received premium pay. Although there may be individuals who for whatever reason were denied a meal or rest period during a shift and failed to report it, such that he or she did not receive premium pay, it is also highly probable and much more likely that there are individuals who took advantage of Pacifica's auto-deduct practice and took multiple and extended meal and rest periods each

.

A large amount of Pacifica's non-exempt employees are those who work 8.5 hour shifts. I 6. have reviewed the names of those individuals who submitted declarations in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, as well as their personnel files, and have confirmed that Maria Rea, Lilia Torres, Monae Lenn Garcia-Espino, Cynthia Lilian Solomon, Diana J. Pimentel, Monica Valencia, Jorge Valdez, and Leann Arjona were among those employees who worked 8.5 hour shifts at Pacifica.

7. I have reviewed Plaintiff's personnel file and confirmed that Plaintiff did not sign a meal period waiver.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 14th day of November, 2016 at Sun Valley, California.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2 3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action; my current business address is 555 South Flower Street, Suite 3500, Los Angeles CA 90071-2411.			
4 5	On November 15, 2016, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: DECLARATION OF PATTI GUEVARA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT PACIFICA OF THE VALLEY CORPORATION dba PACIFICA HOSPITAL OF THE VALLEY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF KYLE FRENCHER'S MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION on the interested			
7	parties in this action as follows:			
8 9 10	Joseph Lavi, Esq. Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. Lavi & Ebrahimian, LLP 8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200 Beverly Hills, California 90211			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kyle Frencher			
14	BY MAIL			
15 16 17	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; the firm deposits the collected correspondence with the United States Postal Service that same day, in the ordinary course of business, with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Los Angeles, California. I placed the envelope(s) for collection and mailing on the above date following ordinary business practices.			
19 20 21	X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE Yeursuant to CRC Rule 2.251, CCP § 1010.6, and the Court Order Authorizing Electronic Service, I caused a copy of the document(s) to be served by electronic mail as a PDF attachment to the email address listed in the Service List by uploading it to the CASE ANYWHERE website at www.caseanywhere.com			
22	X Executed on November 15, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.			
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.			
24	Diana V. Galvez			
6				
.7				
8				